

Dust contamination of ice drills

Klaus Helbing and Jodi I. Lamoureux

June 5, 2003

Here is a little *back of the envelope* calculation of how much dust is needed to reduce the scattering length to the low value of about $l_{\text{scat}} = 0.5$ m that has been observed.

Assume – as has been done for bulk ice too – the radius of the dust is of the order of the wavelength $r_d \simeq 300$ nm. Assume also that air bubbles do not play a significant role in the scattering. The cross section for scattering in the simplest ansatz would be $\sigma_d = 2\pi r_d^2$. The volume of the scatterer is $V_d = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_d^3$. Let $f = N_d/V_h$ be the number of scatterers (dust particles) per volume of hole ice. The the number of scattering N_{scat} interactions within a length l the photon travels then reads $N_{\text{scat}} = \sigma_d l f$. On the other hand $N_{\text{scat}} = l/l_{\text{scat}}$. Resolving this with respect to f gives:

$$f = (2\pi r_d^2 l_{\text{scat}})^{-1} \simeq 3.5 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ dust particles per } 1 \text{ m}^3 \quad .$$

With the Volume of a drilled hole in the ice $V_h = 2\pi r_h^2 d$ and $r_h = 0.5$ m, $d = 2$ km being the radius and the depth of the hole, one obtains for the total volume of the dust V_d^{tot} in the drilled hole:

$$V_d^{\text{tot}} = f V_d V_h \simeq 1 \text{ liter dust in the whole hole.}$$

This seems a very little amount of dust or dirt that could easily enter for example with the surface of the hose for the hot water. Does this calculation make any sense??

PS: Jodi should not be blamed for the exact phrasing.